

Disciplinary practice of the new Supreme Judicial Council

From the date of its constitution on 8.11.2007 to date the Disciplinary Proceedings Commission with the SJC has hold fourteen meetings and has pronounced as follows:

Seven **orders of administrative heads under Article 327** of the Judicial System Act (for “**calling the attention**”) – for violations in relation to the initiation and progress of cases or the organisation of the work of the magistrates, out of which 2 were addressed to district prosecutors, 2 to investigators from regional investigation services, 1 to a district judge, 2 to regional judges.

The Disciplinary Proceedings Commission with the Supreme Judicial Council has examined **10 orders of administrative heads imposing the disciplinary sanction “rebuke”**. Six of these orders were addressed to district prosecutors, 1 to a district judge, 1 to a junior judge, 1 to a regional judge and 1 to a prosecutor from the military district prosecution office. The Commission has proposed to the SJC to confirm 5 of the sanctions imposed and to revoke the sanction on 3 of them.

Eight orders for application of the disciplinary sanction “reprimand” have been received in the SJC and have been examined by the Disciplinary Proceedings Commission accordingly. Two of these orders have been addressed to district judges, 2 to regional prosecutors, 1 to a district prosecutor, 2 to investigators from regional investigation services. Two of the orders for applying the “reprimand” sanction have been admitted for information by the Commission as the proceedings had started under the procedure of the repealed Judicial System Act and as for the remaining 3 orders it has proposed to the SJC to confirm the sanctions imposed.

In conformity with the Internal Rules for the organization of its activity the Commission has **examined 5 proposals** for institution of disciplinary proceedings and applying the **disciplinary sanction “dismissal from office”** and **4 proposals for suspension from office**.